



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Regarding process claims 1, 16 and 20, examiner contacted applicant's representative to discuss an examiner's amendment to include a computer or processor. In view of *bilski* said claims would have to be rejected with 35 USC 101 rejection, the claims are not tied to a particular machine or apparatus, or the transform of a particular article to a different state or thing. Applicant did not want to amend the claims to include any system. The examiner decided to send out a non final rejection.